

Filed this 28th Day of October, 2014 In Open Court
-KSM

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

INTERDIGITAL COMMUNICATIONS,
INC., a Delaware corporation,
INTERDIGITAL TECHNOLOGY
CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation,
IPR LICENSING, INC., a Delaware
corporation, and INTERDIGITAL
HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Plaintiffs and Counterclaim
Defendants,

v.

ZTE CORPORATION, a Chinese corporation,
and ZTE (USA) INC., a New Jersey
corporation,

Defendants and
Counterclaim Plaintiffs.

Civil Action No.: 1:13-cv-00009-RGA

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

VERDICT FORM

Instructions: Please answer each and every question on this verdict form.

INTERDIGITAL'S U.S. PATENT NO. 7,190,966

QUESTION NO. 1:

Do you find that InterDigital has proven by a preponderance of the evidence that ZTE's accused phones infringe any of the following claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,190,966?

	YES (for InterDigital)	NO (for ZTE)
Claim 1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Claim 3	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Claim 6	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Claim 8	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Claim 9	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Claim 11	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

INTERDIGITAL'S U.S. PATENT NO. 7,286,847

QUESTION NO. 2:

Do you find that InterDigital has proven by a preponderance of the evidence that ZTE's accused phones infringe any of the following claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,286,847?

	YES (for InterDigital)	NO (for ZTE)
Claim 3	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Claim 5	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

INTERDIGITAL'S U.S. PATENT NO. 8,380,244

QUESTION NO. 3:

Do you find that InterDigital has proven by a preponderance of the evidence that ZTE's accused phones infringe any of the following claims of U.S. Patent No. 8,380,244?

	YES (for InterDigital)	NO (for ZTE)
Claim 1	✓	
Claim 8	✓	

INTERDIGITAL'S U.S. PATENT NO. 7,190,966

QUESTION NO. 4:

Do you find that ZTE has proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,190,966 are invalid as obvious?

	YES (for ZTE)	NO (for InterDigital)
Claim 1		✓
Claim 3		✓
Claim 6		✓
Claim 8		✓
Claim 9		✓
Claim 11		✓

INTERDIGITAL'S U.S. PATENT NO. 7,286,847

QUESTION NO. 5:

Do you find that ZTE has proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,286,847 are invalid as obvious?

	YES (for ZTE)	NO (for InterDigital)
Claim 3		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Claim 5		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

INTERDIGITAL'S U.S. PATENT NO. 8,380,244

QUESTION NO. 6:

Do you find that ZTE has proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following claims of U.S. Patent No. 8,380,244 are invalid as obvious?

	YES (for ZTE)	NO (for InterDigital)
Claim 1		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Claim 8		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

You have now reached the end of the verdict form and should review it to ensure it accurately reflects your unanimous determinations. The Foreperson should then sign and date the verdict form in the spaces below and notify the Court Security Officer that you have reached a verdict. The Foreperson should retain possession of the verdict form and bring it when the jury is brought back into the courtroom.

DATED: 10-28, 2014

Foreperson